#### ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS DISTRICT ASSESSMENT DATA SY' 2020-2021



# Progress towards standards mastery (ELA Benchmarks)

K-12 NJSLA style Research Simulation, Literary Analysis, and Narrative Tasks

Grade K Benchma	Grade K Benchmark I					В	enchmark II		
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing
General Ed	233/290	80%	80	34%	General Ed	202/311	65%	109	54%
Students w/ Disabilities	19/24	79%	2	11%	Students w/ Disabilities	7/16	44%	4	57%
English Language Learners	52/84	62%	7	13%	English Language Learners	21/30	70%	8	38%
Total	312/392	80%	89	29%	Total	275/418	66%	121	44%

Benchmark III										
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing						
General Ed	204/293	70%	116	57%						
Students w/ Disabilities	16/42	38%	4	25%						
English Language Learners	65/92	71%	33	51%						
Total	279/416	67%	153	55%						

#### • Findings

- Pandemic loss -
  - 35% of kindergarteners are still in letter sounds
  - 34% are reading CVC words with blends
  - Students fine motor skills are poor so letter formation is delayed
  - Encouraging realizations
    - The number of students performing in the lowest performance bands was reduced from 160 to 47.
    - The percentage of students passing increased from Benchmark 2
    - Students received focused foundation skill support
- Next Steps
  - Use the data from diagnostics to identify anchor standards and subskills to fortify and strengthen student skill acquisition
  - Dedicate time and resources to students building foundation skills
    - Fine and gross motor skills through play and manipulation of crafts
    - Strengthening the efficacy of phonemic awareness instruction.
    - Promoting more and more opportunities for students to read
    - Continue focus on reading writing connection

Grade1 Benchn	Grade1 Benchmark I					Benchmark II				
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	
General Ed	147/218	67%	55	37%	General Ed	138/230	60%	80	58%	
Students w/ Disabilities	24/33	73%	5	21%	Students w/ Disabilities	11/26	42%	6	55%	
English Language Learners	57/85	67%	20	35%	English Language Learners	53/90	59%	27	51%	
Total	223/326	68%	80	36%	Total	207/355	58%	113	55%	

	Benchmark III										
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing							
General Ed	177/231	77%	76	43%							
Students w/	14/40	220/	6	420/							
Disabilities	14/42	33%	6	43%							
English											
Language											
Learners	36/105	34%	18	50%							
Total	177/363	49%	101	57%							

#### • Findings

- Pandemic loss -
  - 39% of first graders are working on Kindergarten skills
  - Students fine motor skills are poor so letter formation is delayed
  - Encouraging realizations
    - The number of students performing in the lowest performance bands was reduced from 93 to 20.
    - Although the % passing decreased from midyear point it is higher than Benchmark 1
    - Students received focused foundation skill support
- Next Steps
  - Use the data from diagnostics to identify anchor standards and subskills to fortify and strengthen student skill acquisition
  - Dedicate time and resources to students building foundation skills
    - Fine and gross motor skills through play and manipulation of crafts
    - Strengthening the efficacy of phonemic and phonological awareness instruction.
    - Promoting more and more opportunities for students to read
    - Continue focus on reading writing connection

#### Next Steps

- Promote students talking to peers to oral process information
- Teachers framing questions that require students to address "why" in their oral and written responses
- Teachers continuing writing conferences
- Teachers and media specialists promoting independent reading of interest and decodable books

Crede 2 Depetr	mork					D	anahmark II		
Grade 2 Benchr							enchmark II		
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing
General Ed	207/247	84%	68	33%	General Ed	202/265	76%	108	41%
Students w/ Disabilities	17/21	81%	4	24%	Students w/ Disabilities	17/28	61%	10	59%
English Language Learners	67/101	66%	14	21%	English Language Learners	65/105	62%	18	28%
Total	309/393	79%	86	28%	Total	295/414	71%	136	46%

Benchmark III										
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing						
General Ed	134/256	52%	58	43%						
Students w/ Disabilities	23/53	43%	5	23%						
English Language										
Learners	61/120	51%	21	39%						
Total	212/419	51%	87	41%						

#### • Findings

- Pandemic loss -
  - 12% of second graders are working on Kindergarten skills
  - 60% of the second graders are working on 1st grade skills
  - Students fine motor skills are poor so letter formation is delayed
  - Encouraging realizations
    - The number of students performing in the lowest performance bands was reduced from 212 to 53.
    - Students received focused foundation skill support
- Next Steps
  - Use the data from diagnostics to identify anchor standards and subskills to fortify and strengthen student skill acquisition
  - Dedicate time and resources to students building foundation skills
    - Fine and gross motor skills through play and manipulation of crafts
    - Strengthening the efficacy of phonemic and phonological awareness instruction.
    - Promoting more and more opportunities for students to read
    - Continue focus on reading writing connection

#### Next Steps

- Promote students talking to peers to oral process information
- Teachers framing questions that require students to address "why" in their oral and written responses
- Teachers continuing writing conferences
- Teachers and media specialists promoting independent reading of interest and decodable books

## Grades 3-9 Test Format

- 1. Readings/texts two or more (can include various types of media)
- 2. Evidence Based Selected Response questions (EBSR) -seven or more
- 3. Technical Enhanced Constructed Response questions (TECR) -two or more
- 4. Prose Constructed Response (PCR) -one

Grade3 Benchmark I							Benchmark II		
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	Students	Participatio n count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing
General Ed	184/290	63%	25	14%	General Ed	181/324	56%	56	31%
Students w/ Disabilities	9/23	39%	1	11%	Students w/ Disabilities	8/17	47%	2	25%
English Language Learners	29/67	43%	1	3%	English Language Learners	27/60	45%	3	11%
Total	222/383	58%	27	12%	Total	216/401	54%	61	28%

	Benchmark III											
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing								
General Ed	189/237	80%	53	28%								
Students w/												
Disabilities	25/42	60%	9	36%								
English Language												
Learners	59/97	61%	16	27%								
Total	273/374	73%	78	29%								

- Findings
  - Student performance plateaued and maintained during the second half of the year
  - 49% of students met their reading growth goals across the district
  - Although the percentage of passing decreased from midyear point, it is higher than Benchmark 1 with increasing numbers of students with disabilities and ELLs passing
  - The number of ELLs reading on grade level increased by 7% and the number of students reading in the lowest levels decreased by 18%
  - The number of students with identified needs reading on grade level increased by 7% while the number of students in the lowest level decreased by 13%.
  - Students are responding positively to the new engagement platforms being employed by teachers



- Next Steps
  - Maximize the resources of the new curricular platform to target instruction
  - Promote student discussions (verbal and nonverbal writing engagement strategies that guide students to show their thinking)
  - Teachers framing questions that require students to address "why" in their oral and written responses
  - Continue to monitor the progress of students within the subgroups of ELLs and SWDs
  - Continue to use the assessments to focus on student areas of need
  - Strengthen teacher use of interactive tools

Grade4 Benchm	Grade4 Benchmark I					Benchmark II				
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	
General Ed	234/306	76%	48	21%	General Ed	261/309	84%	76	29%	
Students w/ Disabilities	15/28	54%	2	13%	Students w/ Disabilities	21/27	78%	3	14%	
English Language Learners	13/29	45%	1	8%	English Language Learners	10/30	33%	0	0%	
Total	262/363	72%	51	19%	Total	301/366	82%	79	26%	

Benchmark III										
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing						
General Ed	219/299	73%	67	31%						
Students w/ Disabilities	22/26	85%	10	45%						
English Language										
Learners	14/24	58%	4	29%						
Total	255/361	71%	81	32%						

- Findings
  - The # passing decreased from midyear point; it is higher than Benchmark 1 with increasing numbers of students with disabilities and ELLs passing
  - 48% of students met their reading growth goals
  - The number of ELLs reading on grade level increased by 6% and the number of students reading in the lowest levels decreased by 3%
  - The number of students with identified needs reading on grade level increased by 2% while the number of students in the lowest level decreased by 2%.

- Next Steps
  - Maximize the resources of the new curricular platform to target instruction
  - Promote student discussions (verbal and nonverbal writing engagement strategies that guide students to show their thinking)
  - Teachers framing questions that require students to address "why" in their oral and written responses
  - Continue to monitor the progress of students within the subgroups of ELLs and SWDs
  - Promote independent reading
  - Strengthen teacher use of interactive tools

Grade5 Benchma	rade5 Benchmark I					Benchmark II				
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	
General Ed	177/300	59%	46	26%	General Ed	190/280	68%	68	36%	
Students w/ Disabilities	14/24	58%	1	7%	Students w/ Disabilities	16/23	70%	4	25%	
English Language Learners	4/21	19%	0	0%	English Language Learners	12/34	35%	0	0%	
Total	195/339	58%	47	24%	Total	218/337	65%	72	33%	

Benchmark III										
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing						
General Ed	196/284	69%	62	32%						
Students w/ Disabilities	16/30	53%	6	38%						
	10/00	0070	0	0070						
English Language Learners	9/26	35%	0	0%						
Total	221/343	64%	68	31%						

- Findings
  - The # passing decreased from midyear point; it is higher than Benchmark 1 with increasing numbers of students with disabilities and ELLs passing
  - 51% of students met their reading growth goals
  - The number of ELLs reading on grade level increased by 4% and the number of students reading in the lowest levels decreased by 14%
  - The number of students with identified needs reading on grade level increased by 5% while the number of students in the lowest level decreased by 17%.

- Next Steps
  - Maximize the resources of the new curricular platform to target instruction
  - Promote student discussions (verbal and nonverbal writing engagement strategies that guide students to show their thinking)
  - Use module Skills Breakdown charts to identify subskills to focus small group instruction
  - Continue to monitor the progress of students within the subgroups of ELLs and SWDs
  - Promote independent reading
  - Strengthen teacher use of interactive tools



Grade 6 Benchmark	Grade 6 Benchmark I					Benchmark II					
Students	Participatio n count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing		
General Ed	282/344	82%	55	20%	General Ed	231/340	68%	77	33%		
Students w/ Disabilities	16/20	80%	0	0%	Students w/ Disabilities	9/19	47%	0	0%		
English Language Learners	8/21	38%	1	13%	English Language Learners	7/22	32%	2	29%		
Total	306/385	79%	55	18%	Total	247/381	65%	79	32%		

	Benchmark III								
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing					
General Ed	241/333	72%	71	29%					
Students w/ Disabilities	22/31	71%	4	18%					
English Language									
Learners	6/10	60%	1	17%					
Total	269/380	71%	76	28%					

- Findings
  - The # passing decreased from midyear point; it is higher than Benchmark 1 with increasing numbers of students with disabilities passing
  - 59% of students met their reading growth goals
  - The number of students with identified needs reading on grade level increased by 6%.

- Next Steps
  - Maximize the resources of the new curricular platform to target instruction
  - Promote student discussions (verbal and nonverbal writing engagement strategies that guide students to show their thinking)
  - Use module Skills Breakdown charts to identify subskills to focus small group instruction
  - Continue to monitor the progress of students within the subgroups of ELLs and SWDs
  - Promote independent reading
  - Strengthen teacher use of interactive tools



Grade 7 Benchmark	< I						Benchmark II		
Grade / Benchmark									
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing
General Ed	225/313	72%	51	23%	General Ed	219/284	77%	109	50%
Students w/ Disabilities	28/40	70%	4	14%	Students w/ Disabilities	31/41	78%	7	23%
English Language Learners	14/20	70%	4	29%	English Language Learners	12/26	46%	0	0%
Total	267/373	72%	56	21%	Total	262/351	75%	116	44%

	Benchmark III								
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing					
General Ed	200/279	72%	65	33%					
Students w/ Disabilities	37/41	90%	8	22%					
English Language									
Learners	14/24	58%	0	0%					
Total	251/348	72%	73	29%					

- Findings
  - The # passing decreased from midyear point
  - 66% of students met their reading growth goals
  - The number of ELLs reading on grade level increased by 19% and the number of students reading in the lowest levels decreased by 10%
  - The number of students with identified needs reading on grade level increased by 10% while the number of students in the lowest level decreased by 11%.

- Next Steps
  - Maximize the resources of the new curricular platform to target instruction
  - Promote student discussions (verbal and nonverbal writing engagement strategies that guide students to show their thinking)
  - Use module Skills Breakdown charts to identify subskills to focus small group instruction
  - Continue to monitor the progress of students within the subgroups of ELLs and SWDs
  - Promote independent reading
  - Strengthen teacher use of interactive tools



Grade 8 Benchn	rade 8 Benchmark I				Benchmark II					
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	
General Ed	259/277	94%	61	24%	General Ed	238/269	88%	92	39%	
Students w/ Disabilities	39/44	89%	1	3%	Students w/ Disabilities	35/39	90%	7	20%	
English Language Learners	29/36	81%	0	0%	English Language Learners	30/36	83%	1	3%	
Total	328/358	92%	62	19%	Total	304/344	88%	100	33%	

	Benchmark III								
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing					
General Ed	215/264	81%	136	63%					
Students w/ Disabilities	32/40	80%	9	28%					
English Language									
Learners	17/38	45%	4	24%					
Total	262/340	77%	148	56%					

- Findings
  - % Passing increased to 63%
  - Both ELLs and students with identified needs passing percentage increased
  - An incentive plan was put into effect for Benchmark 3 completion:
    - Students in grades 8 and 9 that receive a 16 or higher or outperform their previous submission by at least 3 points can forgo the final Performance
      Task of the school year. The second criteria is only available to students who completed Part 2 of Benchmark 1 and 2.

- Next Steps
  - Maximize the resources of the new curricular platform to target instruction
  - Use module Skills Breakdown charts to identify subskills to focus small group instruction
  - Promote student discussions (verbal and nonverbal writing engagement strategies that guide students to show their thinking)
  - Engage in activities that promote students sharing their ideas through print
  - Working to support the Writing Folder Initiative

Grade 9 Bend	hmark I						Benchmark II		
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing
General Ed	109/242	45%	41	38%	General Ed	147/233	63%	81	55%
Students w/ Disabilities	13/41	32%	4	31%	Students w/ Disabilities	21/41	51%	4	19%
English Language Learners	19/38	50%	1	5%	English Language Learners	31/40	78%	3	10%
Total	141/321	44%	46	33%	Total	199/314	63%	88	44%

	Benchmark III								
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing					
General Ed	120/259	46%	39	33%					
Students w/ Disabilities	20/48	42%	3	15%					
English Language									
Learners	4//12	33%	0	0%					
Total	140/307	46%	42	30%					

- Findings
  - 104 students completed Part 1 and not Part 2
  - Ninth grade students were not motivated by the incentive
  - Students have to read the various grade level texts (various platforms are audible we need to build up the reading muscles)
- Next Steps
  - Focus on the development of writing arguments
  - Work with teachers to raise the level of reading engagement during and beyond the class period
  - Engage in activities that promote students sharing their ideas through print
  - Working to support the Writing Folder Initiative

Grade 10 Ber	ichmark I						Benchmark II		
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing
General Ed	59/183	32%	17	29%	General Ed	123/196	63%	21	17%
Students w/ Disabilities	5/40	13%	1	20%	Students w/ Disabilities	14/38	37%	1	7%
English Language Learners	0/9	0%	0	0%	English Language Learners	6/9	67%	0	0%
Total	64/232	28%	18	28%	Total	143/243	59%	22	15%

- Findings
  - 80 students completed Part 1 and Part 2
  - Many 10th grade students were absent or did not complete the 3rd Benchmark
  - 19% of the students were proficient
- Next Steps
  - Implement daily Thinking & Writing Strategies to build writing stamina
  - Focus on the development of writing arguments
  - Work with teachers to raise the level of reading engagement during and beyond the class period
  - Engage in activities that promote students sharing their ideas through print
  - Working to support the Writing Folder Initiative

Grade 11 Ber	nchmark I				Benchmark II						
Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing	Students	Participation count	% Participating	Passing count	% Passing		
General Ed	84/108	78%	22	26%	General Ed	45/142	32%	14	31%		
Students w/ Disabilities	9/30	30%	2	22%	Students w/ Disabilities	13/26	50%	1	8%		
English Language Learners	20/29	69%	3	15%	English Language Learners	16/24	67%	1	6%		
Total	123/189	65%	27	22%	Total	74/192	66%	16	22%		

- Findings
  - Benchmark 3 saw reduced rates of students completing both parts of the assessment - 65 students completed Part 1 and 2
  - Benchmark 3 percent passing 48% Mostly Honors students
- Next Steps
  - Implement daily Thinking & Writing Strategies to build writing stamina
  - Focus on development of writing arguments
  - Work with teachers to raise the level of reading engagement during and beyond the class period
  - Engage in activities that promote students sharing their ideas through print
  - Working to support the Writing Folder Initiative

## **MOVING FORWARD**

- Aggressively address pandemic foundation skills delays in grades K-2 with targeted supports
- Strengthen engagement with text (Students have to do the work of reading!)
  - · Comprehension and application of the critical knowledge and skills
  - Vocabulary
  - Expression of ideas in Writing organization and beyond
  - Fluency
  - Strengthening reasoning
- Supporting the implementation of Writing Folder as we work on improving feedback and efficacy of writing conferences.
- Added focus on students showing their thinking through writing and the development of arguments
- Use the Skills Breakdown module charts to support teachers refining small group instruction
- Increase participation during the small group sessions overall
- Individualized support by school for professional development will continue to be predicated on data